UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,067	07/09/2003	Tetsuya Yano	FUJO 20.501	9952
	7590 07/26/200 CHIN ROSENMAN LI	EXAMINER		
575 MADISON		FOUD, HICHAM B		
NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			. 07/26/2007	· PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/616,067	YANO ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Hicham B. Foud	2616	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	(-)			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2-11</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachme	nt(s)			
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I Notice of Informal 6) Other:	oate	

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 05-21-2007 has been entered and considered.

Claims 1-13 are pending in this application.

Claims 1-13 remain rejected as discussed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 13 line 2, the term "the basis" has no antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dohi et al (US 6,341,224) hereinafter is referred to as Dohi.

Art Unit: 2616

For claim 1, Dohi discloses an outer-loop power control device in which a reference signal-to-interference power ratio, which is a basis of transmission power control by a communications environment, is variable, comprising: a signal-tointerference power ratio measurement unit measuring a signal-to-interference power ratio of a receiving signal (see Figure 2 element 6; SIR measuring unit); an error rate measurement unit measuring an error rate of receiving data (see Figure 2 element 32; Received signal error rate measuring unit); a reference signal-to-interference power ratio modification unit setting an observation time period of an error rate/number of target observation blocks of the error rate, a unit increment of a reference signal-tointerference power ratio, a unit decrement of a reference signal-to-interference power ratio and a target signal error rate in such a way to satisfy a prescribed relation equation changing a unit change value of increment or decrement of the reference signal-tointerference power ratio according to the measured error rate(see Figure 2 element 12; Target SIR decision unit and see column 4 lines 35-40; the target SIR is changed by the error rate in the unit 12 of Figure 2; inherently the target SIR is going up and down "increment or decrement" depending on the error rate received); and modifying the reference signal-to-interference power ratio, based on the measured error rate (see Figure 2 element 7; SIR comparator); and a command generation unit generating a command for transmission power control by comparing the modified reference signal-tointerference power ratio with the measured interference power ratio (see Figure 2 element 13; Transmission Power Control Bit Decision Unit).

Claim 12 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Art Unit: 2616

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 2-11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph and the objection(s), set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claim 13 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph and the objection(s), set forth in this Office action.

Response to Argument

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: See Form PTO-892.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2616

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 9. examiner should be directed to Hicham B. Foud whose telephone number is 571-270-1463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 10-3 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hicham Foud

Chru Ti Nfesen SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600